## REMARKS

This paper is responsive to an Office Action mailed February 12, 2007. Prior to this response, claims 1-32 were pending. After amending claims 1, 5, 13-14, and 16, and canceling claim 4, claims 1-3 and 5-32 remain pending.

In Section 2 of the Office Action claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Monro et al. (US 2006/0067404).

Section 3 of the Office Action states that claims 2-16 would be found allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all the subject matter of the base and intervening claims. In response, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 4, now canceled. All claims dependent from claim 1 should now be found allowable.

Section 4 of the Office Action states that claims 17-32 are allowed.

Applicant has reviewed the references made of record and asserts that the claims are patentable over the references made of record.

It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

5/22/2000

Gerald Maliszewski

Registration No. 38,054

Respectfully submitted,

 ${\bf Customer~Number~55,286}$ 

P.O. Box 270829

San Diego, CA 92198-2829

Telephone: (858) 451-9950 Facsimile: (858) 451-9869

gerry@ipatentit.net